

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Oregon
 11/10/17 - 11/12/17 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oregon	508	100.0	100.0	100.0

2002. How likely are you to vote in statewide elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	310	61.0	61.0	61.0
	Very likely	116	22.8	22.8	83.8
	Somewhat likely	82	16.2	16.2	100.0
	Total	508	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	168	33.0	33.0	33.0
	Republican	117	23.0	23.0	56.0
	Independent	224	44.0	44.0	100.0
	Total	508	100.0	100.0	

204. In the 2018 gubernatorial election, would you generally prefer to vote for the Republican candidate or the Democratic candidate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Republican	172	33.9	33.9	33.9
	Democrat	181	35.6	35.6	69.6
	Someone else	52	10.2	10.2	79.8
	Not sure	103	20.2	20.2	100.0
	Total	508	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Oregon
11/10/17 - 11/12/17 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

205. For whom would you vote, if the 2018 gubernatorial election were held today and candidates were Republican Sam Carpenter and Democrat Kate Brown?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Republican Sam Carpenter	184	36.3	36.3	36.3
Democrat Kate Brown	192	37.9	37.9	74.2
Not sure	131	25.8	25.8	100.0
Total	508	100.0	100.0	

206. For whom would you vote, if the 2018 gubernatorial election were held today and candidates were Republican Knute Buehler and Democrat Kate Brown?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Republican Knute Buehler	184	36.1	36.1	36.1
Democrat Kate Brown	198	38.9	38.9	75.0
Not sure	127	25.0	25.0	100.0
Total	508	100.0	100.0	

Age group A

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	74	14.5	15.0	15.0
	30-49	162	31.9	33.0	48.0
	50-64	137	27.1	28.0	76.0
	65+	118	23.2	24.0	100.0
	Total	491	96.7	100.0	
Missing	.00	17	3.3		
Total		508	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Orgeon
 11/10/17 - 11/12/17 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Age group B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	39	7.7	8.0	8.0
	25-34	77	15.2	15.7	23.7
	35-54	164	32.2	33.4	57.0
	55-69	180	35.4	36.6	93.7
	70+	31	6.1	6.3	100.0
	Total	491	96.7	100.0	
Missing	.00	17	3.3		
Total		508	100.0		

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	280	55.1	55.1	55.1
	College Degree+	228	44.9	44.9	100.0
	Total	508	100.0	100.0	

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	415	81.7	82.0	82.0
	Hisp	46	9.0	9.0	91.0
	AfrAmer	5	1.0	1.0	92.0
	Asian	20	4.0	4.0	96.0
	Other	20	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	506	99.6	100.0	
Missing	.00	2	.4		
Total		508	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Oregon
 11/10/17 - 11/12/17 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	294	57.9	57.9	57.9
	Single, never married	104	20.5	20.5	78.4
	Divorced/separated/widowed	85	16.7	16.7	95.0
	Civil union or domestic partnership	25	5.0	5.0	100.0
	Total	508	100.0	100.0	

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Large city (100,000 or more residents)	132	26.1	26.4	26.4
	Small city (less than 100,000 residents)	147	29.0	29.4	55.8
	Suburbs	131	25.7	26.0	81.8
	Rural area	91	18.0	18.2	100.0
	Total	502	98.8	100.0	
Missing	0	6	1.2		
Total		508	100.0		

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	134	26.3	28.3	28.3
	Moderate	156	30.6	32.9	61.1
	Conservative	182	35.7	38.4	99.5
	Populist	2	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	473	93.2	100.0	
Missing	.00	35	6.8		
Total		508	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Orgeon
 11/10/17 - 11/12/17 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	73	14.4	15.4	15.4
	Protestant	163	32.1	34.3	49.7
	Jewish	17	3.4	3.6	53.3
	Other/None	222	43.8	46.7	100.0
	Total	476	93.7	100.0	
Missing	.00	32	6.3		
Total		508	100.0		

Born Again

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	68	13.3	41.7	41.7
	No	79	15.5	48.4	90.1
	Not sure	16	3.2	9.9	100.0
	Total	162	31.9	100.0	
Missing	0	346	68.1		
Total		508	100.0		

Union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	76	14.9	14.9	14.9
	No	432	85.1	85.1	100.0
	Total	508	100.0	100.0	

Child<17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	150	29.5	29.5	29.5
	No	358	70.5	70.5	100.0
	Total	508	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Orgeon
 11/10/17 - 11/12/17 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working full time	219	43.2	43.7	43.7
	Working part time	69	13.5	13.7	57.4
	Temporarily unemployed and looking for work	17	3.3	3.3	60.7
	Temporarily unemployed and not looking for work	12	2.3	2.4	63.1
	Student	12	2.3	2.3	65.4
	Stay at home parent/spouse	58	11.5	11.6	77.1
	Retired	115	22.6	22.9	100.0
	Total	501	98.7	100.0	
Missing	0	7	1.3		
Total		508	100.0		

Veteran/Armed Forces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	143	28.1	28.1	28.1
	No	365	71.9	71.9	100.0
	Total	508	100.0	100.0	

Resident

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	147	29.0	29.8	29.8
	America	213	41.8	43.0	72.8
	The planet Earth	134	26.5	27.2	100.0
	Total	494	97.3	100.0	
Missing	0	14	2.7		
Total		508	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Orgeon
 11/10/17 - 11/12/17 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$25,000	80	15.8	16.3	16.3
	\$25001 - 35000	50	9.9	10.1	26.4
	\$35001 - 50000	67	13.2	13.6	40.0
	\$50001 - 75000	88	17.3	17.8	57.8
	\$75001 - 100000	75	14.8	15.2	73.0
	\$100001 - 150000	95	18.8	19.3	92.3
	Greater than \$150000	38	7.5	7.7	100.0
	Total	494	97.2	100.0	
Missing	0	14	2.8		
Total		508	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	244	48.0	48.0	48.0
	Female	264	52.0	52.0	100.0
	Total	508	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative